

Appl. No. 10/766,047  
January 12, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of December 17, 2004

## PATENT

**II. REMARKS**

Claim 14 was added to more broadly define Applicant's invention, since Applicant is entitled to claims as broad as the prior art and the disclosure will allow. Moreover, the Examiner has stated, in the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance in the Office Action, that the primary reason for the allowance of the claims is the attachment of the laterally inside posts at a location inside with respect to the laterally outside posts which is not found in the prior art of record. Applicant, therefore, has added new independent claim 14 reciting the Examiner's relied upon language and omitting other unnecessary language. Thus, claims 1-14 are pending in the present application. New claim 14 is supported in the specification and no new matter is presented and such amendments are deemed unobjectionable. Entry of the Amendment is requested since it does not broaden Applicant's invention beyond the recitation of the Examiner's primary reasons for allowance, but gives proper scope to Applicant's invention, and will not involve a substantial amount of additional work on the part of the Patent Office.

If the Examiner has any questions with respect to any matter now of record, the Applicant's attorney may be reached at the telephone number below. Please grant any required extensions of time and charge any fees due in connection with this request to deposit account no. 50-0852.

Respectfully submitted,

REISING, ETHINGTON,  
BARNES, KISSELLE, P.C.

Date: 1/14/05

By [Signature]  
William J. Schramm  
Registration No. 24,795  
P.O. Box 4390  
Troy, Michigan 48099-4390  
(248) 689-3500